Common Sense in Environmental Impact Assessment

It is not as Common as it Should Be



William A. Ross, Canada Angus Morrison-Saunders, Australia Ross Marshall, United Kingdom



IAIA'04, Vancouver, Canada April, 2004

Common Sense in Environmental Impact Assessment

- Issue scoping
- Cumulative effects assessment
- Impact assessment & significance
- Quality of ES
- EIA guidance
- Public participation

Ways to improve these practices by using common sense

Issue Scoping

Principle: Focus on issues that affect project decision

Practice: Deal with everything under the sun

 Result: Huge EIAs and stakeholders spend lots of time on matters of no consequence



Cumulative Effects Assessment

 Principle: To guide decision makers regarding consequences of development

 Practice: Consultants want great detail (sometimes)

- Result: Broad picture is very clouded with inessential detail; or
- Result: CEA is not done at all



Baseline Data Collection

 Principle: Need to understand how relevant ecosystems (or social systems) function

- Practice: Data collected for everything!
- Result: Insufficient understanding of relevant ecosystems and lots of data
- Result: Data can be useful in follow up studies but only after ecosystems are understood



Follow Up Studies

Principle: Get information needed to manage project

 Practice: Do academic studies to check on impact predictions

Result: Poorer project management



Impact Assessment & Significance

 Principle: Define anticipated impacts, and whether they are positive/negative, & significant

- Practice: Proponent required to reach an objective conclusion substantiated by logic, data or scientific reference
- Result: Often insufficient assessment, poor in context, which impacts likely, their intensity, severity, & importance to community/consultees
- Result: Often subjective approach Everything is significant!

The Quality of ES

 Principle: A clear concise summary of the risk assessment and decision-making process

- Practice: Statutory body / Proponent required to produce a formal record of the environmental information reasonably required
- Result: Long, tedious, compendiums of disjointed facts and figures backed up by spurious claims
- Result: Difficult for consultees and communities to objectively assess environmental risk

Mitigation

 Principle: Measures which anticipate and seek to avoid, reduce or remediate likely significant adverse impact

- Practice: Objective assessment of the form, implementation phase and methodology for impact amelioration
- Result: Mitigation not designed in, but rather 'bolted on'
- Result: Compensation rather than mitigation



Capacity Building or Guidance gone mad?

 Principle: Provide information on EIA methods / issues to proponents

- Practice: Dozens of guidance documents produced (e.g. 34 in Western Australia)
- Result: either scrupulous attention given to guidance (i.e. 'legalising' informal guidance) or ignored completely because too much to read!
- Result: loss of proponent flexibility & responsibility



Public Participation? or... Public Placation & Proponent Provocation?

- Principle: Stakeholder involvement (consultation) is important
- Practice: proponent is required to respond to public concerns (Australian practice)
- Result: Spurious (or ignorant) public comments required to be responded to by proponents
- Result: No focus on significant issues, time delays and proponent frustration



Conclusion

Common sense is what you revert to when all other avenues have been explored! (Anon.)

- EIA is a logical process, common sense demands that we follow it logically
- EIA does not have to be overly complicated a good dose of common sense goes a long way!